

Letter from Wal Torres to some OII-Organization Intersex International members about WPATH symposium

Dear Curtis, S.... and G.....,

I am aware now how these societies and these Consensus Groups, are biased by a lot of pseudo-science.

That is the enemy of reality - pseudo-science.

I heard a paper from Richard Green at WPATH, and it was very interesting. He showed - he was intending to show - which are the variables that cause identity problems... genes, hormones, brain's nucleus, brain's tissues, rearing, family, culture, society... sibling's age sequence (ratio), ... fingers... fingerprints, and so on.

Immediately i linked his idea with mine... and it was so obvious i am right - Gender identity is so complex a matter - we may not never more try to explain it through simplistic one-to-one cause -effect relations. All are biased.

We need many-to-many relations to try to explain - to understand - to categorize - to label - sex and gender.

So, the first step is to start again, recognizing some simple points of principle:

1. Gender identity formation has known and unknown multiple causes and variables;
2. Gender identity is not predictable "a priori" - the proof are so many natural transsexuals, transgenders, intergenders, cross dressers, etc... exists, WITH TYPICAL AND WITH ATYPICAL SEX DEVELOPMENT;
3. Due to its inner lack of predictability, gender identity INCIDENCE vs INTENSITY spectrum may be studied - to look for natural spectrum (fractal spectrum), $1/f$ power spectrum;
4. Any system that has a $1/f$ power spectrum, is a natural fractal system;
5. If natural fractal self-similar spectrum $1/f$ exists, we may qualify the complex system considering self-organization theories, as self-organized criticality for example, among others;

6. A fractal system we may not study considering one-to-one linear relations, but through many-to-many dynamic relations;

7. Taken's theorem assure us, a many-to-many system may be studied - and quantified - as a many-to-one system, if we consider properly that system's embedding in discrete iterative space.

That way we could do SCIENCE considering sex and gender.

So, what Z....., V....., D....., B....., L....., among others are doing, IS NOT SCIENCE, but pseudo-science - as M..... did - considering sex and gender.

They do politics, they mislead ideology, they look for power to manipulate the media and the societies and the governments... because they are doing here pseudo-science.

I believe they are good people, and their intentions are not bad. So, i believe they are not fake-scientists, but are being mislead here by pseudo-science.

Sometimes to identify science and pseudo-science is really not an easy task!

If one day we will be heard and we could do good science about these sex and gender matters - a new approach is needed - and that approach Gendercare is developing now for 6 years!

Obviously very few people will be interested in it... because - mainly for doctors, hospitals, societies, even parents, families, the overpowered midia... the "status quo" is good... so, any change could be dangerous... mainly triggered by a Latin-American through the web...

One of the most interesting thing for me in Chicago was how the most simple workers - serving tables, cooking and so on, speak Spanish... the public transport system (CTA) is mostly used by them... and the system is lacking money from the local government...

One day, a lot of time ago, the great Jean François Champollion - one of the biggest scientists i ever heard about - said... "we know the level of one civilization, the way that civilization considers women".

In Chicago I would like to generalize his concept because i believe "we know the level of one civilization (or one local society) the way it considers children, elders, disabilities, poor people, poor foreign people and prostitutes"... too...

About Gina and the fact that intersex people have no voice, only their doctors

and parents have a voice to talk in their name... and the "fact" they accept - with or without oppression - their "assigned sex" through the "sex of rearing" "theory", there is a pseudo-scientific explanation for it: sex of rearing works.

But there is another REALLY SCIENTIFICAL explanation for it.

As most of them really are intergender, it is possible to prove that for intergender people, the identity is more "labile" - the dynamic mathematics of intergender condition shows it.

Intergender condition is related to a chaotic development of gender identity - and due to that chaotic development (that means lability here), they may ADAPT INSIDE ALL GENDER SPECTRUM...

So they are also able to consider family and doctor's necessities... so they appear to be well adapted.... and they are not fighting that adaptation (nor faking it too!)... because they are able to adapt to almost all spectrum of possible desired adaptations!

There is a whole mathematical - really scientific knowledge that shows why intergenders adapt so well - to all situations, mainly to limit situations - male if desired or female if convenient.

What is lacking about sex and gender is SCIENCE... what is overflowing are pseudo-sciences....

So sorry... i am being old and tired and with some health problems, and i am not sure i will have the opportunity to teach others to continue my work in a real SCIENTIFICAL DIRECTION considering sex and gender matters.

I will come back to my mathematical society - probably in one or 2 years i will present a paper there about sex and gender - they are not interested in that subject - but there i may talk about science - and there i may show my ideas are scientifically correct - and if they are not perfect or they are not the best ideas, there they may help me to correct and improve.

But i continue working with and for GV people... and i will continue to fight to be a bridge between these sex and gender matters - and good new science.

Torrwad